

## **Crystal Structures and Topochemical Polymerizations of** 7,7,8,8-Tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes

Shinji Nomura,<sup>†</sup> Takahito Itoh,<sup>\*,†</sup> Hirofumi Nakasho,<sup>†</sup> Takahiro Uno,<sup>†</sup> Masataka Kubo,<sup>†</sup> Kazuki Sada,<sup>‡</sup> Katsunari Inoue,§ and Mikiji Miyata§

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry for Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, 1515 Kamihama-cho, Tsu-shi, Mie 514-8507, Japan, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 630-8528, Japan, and Department of Material and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University and Handai FRC, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Received September 19, 2003; E-mail: itoh@chem.mie-u.ac.jp.

Abstract: Highly conjugated monomers, 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes (methoxy (1a), ethoxy (1b), isopropoxy (1c), benzyloxy (1d), chloroethoxy (1e), and bromoethoxy (1f)), were synthesized. Recrystallizations of 1a, 1c, 1e, and 1f yielded two crystal forms (prisms (1a-A) and needles (1a-B), needles (1c-A) and plates (1c-B), prisms (1e-A) and plates (1e-B), and prisms (1f-A) and needles (1f-B)), which have different molecular packing modes by X-ray crystal structure analysis, indicating that the crystals are polymorphic. In the photopolymerizations of these monomer crystals in the solid state, 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A polymerized topochemically to give crystalline polymers. For their thermal polymerizations in the solid state, in addition to 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A, 1e-B and 1f-B polymerized, but polymers formed from the 1e-B and 1f-B were amorphous. The packing of quinodimethane molecules in the crystals was defined by four kinds of parameters, stacking distance ( $d_s$ ), the distance between the reacting exomethylene carbon atoms (d<sub>cc</sub>), the angles formed between the stacking axis and longer axis of the monomer molecule  $(\theta_1)$ , and the shorter axis of the monomer molecule  $(\theta_2)$ , and then the polymerization reactivity of these quinodimethanes in the solid state was discussed on the basis of these parameters.

## Introduction

Since primary structures of polymers such as stereoregularity, regioselectivity, molecular weight, molecular weight distribution, chain-end structures, and branching greatly influence physical properties, their control has been attracting much attention in polymer chemistry. Recent advances in "living polymerization" and "stereospecific polymerization" enable one to control primary structures precisely. Stereoregularity and regioselectivity have been achieved in the presence of catalysts, which has interaction with monomer or growing chain-end in solutions.<sup>1</sup> Another approach to control polymer structures is utility of regularity of monomer arrangements in the crystalline state because positions and orientations of the monomers do not change and motion of monomer is strongly limited. When the polymerization progresses without moving the center of gravity of the monomer in the crystal state, stereoregularity and regioselectivity ought to be controlled automatically. They are called as topochemical polymerizations. Despite high reactivities, stereoregularity, and regioselectivity, a limited number of monomers such as 2,5-distyrylpyradine derivatives and related

compounds,<sup>2</sup> diacetylene derivatives,<sup>3</sup> triene and triacetylene derivatives,<sup>4</sup> and muconic acid and sorbic acid derivatives<sup>5</sup> have

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Phone: +81-59-231-9410. Fax: +81-59-231-9410.

Mie University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Kyushu University.

<sup>§</sup> Osaka University and Handai FRC. (1) Okamoto, Y.; Nakano, T. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 349-372.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Hasegawa, M. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 507-518. (b) Hasegawa, M. Adv.

<sup>Phys. Org. Chem. 1995, 30, 117–171.
(3) (a) Wegner, G. Z. Naturforsch. B 1969, 24, 824–832. (b) Wenger, G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1977, 49, 443–454. (c) Enkelmann, V. Adv. Polym. Sci. 1984,</sup> 63, 91-131. (d) Ogawa, T. Prog. Polym. Sci. 1995, 20, 943-985. (e) Huntsman W. D. In The Chemistry of Functional Groups. Supplement C: The Chemistry of Triple-Bonded Functional Groups; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1983; Chapter 22. (f) Okada, S.; Matsuda, H.; Nakanishi, H. In *Polymeric Materials Encyclopedia*; Sala-mone, J. C., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1996; pp 8393–8398. (g) Bloor, D.; Chance, R. R. *Polydiacetylenes*; NATO ASI Series E, Applied Sciences No. 102.; Martinus Nijhoff: Dorddrecht, 1985.

 <sup>(4) (</sup>a) Kiji, J.; Kaiser, J.; Wegner, J. G.; Schulz, R. C. *Polymer* 1973, *14*, 433–439. (b) Enkelmann, V. *Chem. Mater.* 1994, 6, 1337–1340. (c) Xiao, J.; Yang, M.; Lauher, J. W.; Fowler, F. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 2132–2135. (d) Hoang, T.; Lauher, J. W.; Fowler, F. W. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2002, 124, 10656-10657.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Matsumoto, A.; Matsumura, T.; Aoki, S. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 423-432. (b) Matsumoto, A.; Yokoi, K.; Aoki, S.; Tashiro, K.; Kamae, T.; Kobayashi, M. *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 2129-2136. (c) Matsumoto, A.; Odani, T.; Chikada, M.; Sada, K.; Miyata, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11122–11129.
 (d) Matsumoto, A.; Nagahama, S.; Odani, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9109–9119.
 (e) Odani, T.; Matsumoto, A.; Magahama, S.; Odani, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9109–9119.
 (e) Odani, T.; Matsumoto, A. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2000, 21, 40–44.
 (f) Matsumoto, A.; Katayama, K.; Odani, T.; Oka, K.; Tashiro, K.; Saragai, S.; Nakamoto, S. Macromol. and Commun. 2000, 21, 40–44.
 (f) Matsumoto, A.; Katayama, K.; Odani, T.; Oka, K.; Tashiro, K.; Saragai, S.; Nakamoto, S. Macromol. And Common. 2000, 33, 7266–7302. K., Odani, T., Oka, K., Tashiro, K., Saragar, S., Nakanioo, S. Matchiolo, S. Matchiolo, ecules 2000, 33, 7786–7792. (g) Nagahama, S.; Matsumoto, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12176–12181. (h) Matsumoto, A.; Odani, T. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2001, 22, 1195–1215. (i) Matsumoto, A.; Sada, K.; Tashiro, K.; Miyata, M.; Tsubouchi, T.; Tanaka, T.; Odani, T.; Nagahama, S.; Tanaka, T.; Inoue, K.; Saragai, S.; Nakamoto, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2502–2505. (j) Matsumoto, A.; Tanaka, T.; Tsubouchi, T.; Tashiro, K.; Saragai, S.; Nakamoto, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8891-8902. (k) Tanaka, T.; Matsumoto, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9676-9677.

Scheme 1



been reported to undergo topochemical polymerizations, because they have strict requirement of the monomer arrangements in crystalline state. Recently, we reported as a preliminary result that a conjugated monomer, 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(methoxycarbonyl)quinodimethane (1a), gave two crystal forms (prisms and needles) and exhibited drastic differences in the polymerization ability observed between them. That is, the prisms polymerized topochemically but the needles did not, arising from the difference in the packing modes.<sup>6</sup> Here, to establish general rules in the topochemical polymerizations, it is worthwhile to clarify the relation between crystal structures of quinodimethane monomers and their solid-state polymerization reactivities by using various quinodimethane monomers. In this work, we describe the syntheses of 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes bearing various alkoxy groups and determination of their crystal structures by X-ray crystal analysis and also discuss the relationship of the solid-state polymerization reactivity with the packing in the crystals.

## **Results and Discussion**

Monomer Synthesis and Recrystallization. Monomers (1a– f) were synthesized by a synthetic route as shown in Scheme 1. Knoevenagel condensations of 1,4-cyclohexanedione and corresponding dialkyl malonates using titanium tetrachloride and pyridine as a dehydrating reagent<sup>7</sup> afforded 1,4-[bis(alkoxycarbonyl)methylene]cyclohexanes (2a–f) (42–68% yields). Oxidation of 2a–f with activated manganese dioxide in refluxing benzene gave 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes (1a–f) (16–37% yields). All monomers were identified by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and IR spectroscopies and elemental analysis.

Those monomers were recrystallized from various conditions to obtain fine crystals for polymerization and single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. Recrystallizations of **1a**, **1c**, **1e**, and **1f** from hexane gave a mixture of two crystal forms, yellow prisms (**1a**–**A**) and yellow needles (**1a**–**B**) for **1a**, yellow needles (**1c**–**A**) and pale yellow plates (**1c**–**B**) for **1c**, yellow prisms (**1e**–**A**) and pale yellow plates (**1e**–**B**) for **1e**, and yellow prisms (**1f**–**A**) and yellow needles (**1f**–**B**) for **1f**, indicating that they have polymorphism. After many trials of screening of recrystallization conditions such as solvents, concentrations, and temperature, we found the conditions that gave one of two polymorphs exclusively for **1a**, **1c**, **1e**, and **1f**; **1a**–**A** from chloroform/hexane (1/3 v/v) and **1a**–**B** from methanol, **1c**–**A** from hexane at high concentration (250 mg/40 mL) and **1c**–**B** at low concentration (160 mg/40 mL), **1e**–**A** from

Table 1. Photopolymerizations of 1a-f in the Solid State

run	monomer crystal	temp. (°C)	time (h)	form	conv. (%)	Mn
1	1a-A	30	3	off-white prism	>99	ND <sup>a</sup>
2	1a-B	30	6	· ·	0	
3	1b	30	24		0	
4	1c-A	30	24		0	
5	1c-B	30	24		0	
6	1d	30	24		0	
7	1e-A	30	3	ivory prism	>99	$ND^{a}$
8	1e-B	30	24		0	
9	1f-A	30	0.5	orange plate	>99	$ND^{a}$
10	1f-B	30	24	0 1	0	

<sup>a</sup> Not determined (insoluble in common organic solvents).

Scheme 2



chloroform/hexane (2/3 v/v) and 1e-B from chloroform/hexane (3/2 v/v), and 1f-A from chloroform and 1f-B from chloroform/ hexane (1/2 v/v). Both 1b and 1d gave only one crystal form (yellow needles) under the all attempted recrystallization conditions.

Solid-State Polymerization. Monomer crystals were each subjected to polymerization by irradiation using a high-pressure Hg lamp at 30 °C, and the results are summarized in Table 1. 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A polymerized to give the corresponding polymers as crystal-like solids, which were insoluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, benzene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethyl sulfoxide, N,N-dimethylformamide, methanol, and hexane. While no polymerizations occurred for 1a-B, 1b, 1c-A, 1c-B, 1d, 1e-B, and 1f-B, and unreacted monomers were recovered quantitatively. The resulting polymers of 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A were only characterized by IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis because of their insolubilities in these organic solvents. In the IR spectra of polymers from 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A, characteristic absorption bands at 1533–1546 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the exocyclic conjugated carbon-carbon double bond of the quinodimethane monomers disappeared, and the new bands arising from carbon-carbon double bonds of the aromatic ring were observed at ca. 1500, 1400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , and a band arising from out-of-plane deformation of the parasubstituted benzene, characteristic of a two-adjacent-hydrogen system, was observed at ca. 800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These spectrum changes observed in the solid-state polymerizations of 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A strongly support that polymerization reactions of substituted quinodimethane molecules take place at the disubstituted exomethylene carbon atoms with the formation of the corresponding stable aromatic structures.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, elemental analysis values of the products were in good agreement with the calculated ones for the corresponding polymers. Therefore, the photopolymerizations in the crystalline state proceed in the same manner as those in the conventional polymerizations in solution, as shown in Scheme 2.

On the other hand, we tried thermal polymerizations of these monomer crystals by heating in the dark at a temperature 10 °C lower than each melting point, and the results are summarized

<sup>(6)</sup> Itoh, T.; Nomura, S.; Uno, T.; Kubo, M.; Sada, K.; Miyata, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4306–4309.
(7) Lehnert, W. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 635–638.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Iwatsuki, S.; Itoh, T. Macromolecules 1980, 13, 983–989. (b) Iwatsuki, S.; Itoh, T.; Yokotani, I. Macromolecules 1983, 16, 1817–1823. (c) Iwatsuki, S.; Itoh, T.; Iwai, T.; Sawada, H. Macromolecules 1985, 18, 2726–2732. (d) Itoh, T.; Okano, H.; Hishida, T.; Inokuchi, A.; Kamei, N.; Sato, T.; Kubo, M.; Iwatsuki, S. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 15247–15261. (e) Itoh, T.; Iwatsuki S. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1997, 198, 1997–2016. (f) Itoh, T. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26, 1019–1059.



Figure 1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum in chloroform-d of the polymer of 1e-B obtained by solid-state thermal polymerization.

Table 2. Thermal Polymerizations of 1a-f in the Solid State

run	monomer crystal	temp. (°C)	time (h)	form	conv. (%)	Mn
11	1a-A	60	3	off-white prism	47	$ND^{a}$
12	1a-B	60	6	-	0	
13	1b	60	24		0	
14	1c-A	100	24		0	
15	1c-B	90	24		0	
16	1d	60	24		0	
17	1e-A	90	24	ivory prism	72	$ND^{a}$
18	1e-B	105	24	yellow solid	94	15000
19	1f-A	60	24	orange plate	46	$ND^{a}$
20	1f-B	120	15	white solid	>99	$ND^{a}$
21	$1e^b$	60	87	white powder	21	4100
22	1 <b>f</b> <sup>c</sup>	60	4 days	white powder	2	3400

<sup>*a*</sup> Not determined (insoluble in common organic solvents). <sup>*b*</sup> Solution polymerization in toluene, 2.5 mL; **1e**, 372 mg; AIBN, 6.0 mg. <sup>*c*</sup> Solution polymerization in chloroform, 10 mL; **1f**, 400 mg; AIBN, 10 mg.

in Table 2. In addition to photopolymerizable 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A, 1e-B and 1f-B were found to be polymerized by heating. The polymer yields at the thermal polymerizations for 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A were lower than those at the photopolymerizations. Thermal polymerizations of 1e-B at 105 °C and of 1f-B at 120 °C gave the corresponding polymers as yellow solids and a white one, respectively. The polymers of 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A had the same solubilities as the polymers obtained by the photopolymerizations. On the other hand, the polymer of 1e-B was soluble in chloroform, THF, and benzene but insoluble in methanol and hexane, and the polymer of 1f-B was insoluble in common organic solvents such as chloroform, THF, benzene, methanol, and hexane. For all cases, solid-state thermal polymerization occurred; the IR spectra of the polymers had similar changes to those produced by photopolymerization. Here, the polymer of 1e-B was further characterized by GPC and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy because of its high solubility toward common organic solvents. The number-average molecular weight  $(M_n)$  was determined to be 15 000, and the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the polymer of 1e-B is shown in Figure 1, which was the same as that of the polymer obtained by solution polymerization described below, supporting the formation of the corresponding stable para-substituted benzene structure by radical coupling reactions between the exomethylene carbon atoms of the quinodimethane structure.

In this way, 1e-B and 1f-B showed different polymerization behavior, depending upon the polymerization conditions; they polymerized in the solid-state thermal polymerization conditions but not in the photopolymerization conditions. Probably, in



*Figure 2.* Powder XRD patterns of (a) **1e**–**B**, (b) **1e**–**A**, (c) polymer of **1e**–**A** obtained by photopolymerization, and (d) polymer of **1e** obtained by solution polymerization.

photopolymerization at temperatures as low as 30 °C, the motion of the molecules in the crystals is significantly limited, but at temperatures near each melting point, the molecules in the crystals would be allowed to move into reaction because of enhanced molecular motion. To compare the solid-state polymerization reactivity of **1e** and **1f**, their solution polymerizations with AIBN as an initiator were carried out, and the results (run 21 and 22) are shown in Table 2. The molecular weights and the polymer yields were lower in comparison with those in the solid-state polymerization.

Crystallinity of Obtained Polymers. Polymers of 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A obtained by photopolymerizations and solidstate thermal polymerizations and also the polymer of 1f-B by solid-state thermal polymerization were insoluble in common organic solvents, and their shapes were similar to those of the corresponding monomers. Thus, the crystallinity of the obtained polymers was investigated by powder XRD. The powder XRD patterns of monomers 1e-A, 1f-A, and 1f-B and the corresponding polymers are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively

Very sharp diffraction patterns of the polymers obtained by the photopolymerizations of 1e-A and 1f-A indicate that

*Table 3.* Crystallographic Data for Crystals of 7,7,8,8-Tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes

monomer	1a–A	1a–B	1b	1c–A	1c–B	1d	1e–A	1e–B	1f–A	1f–B
formula	$C_{16}H_{16}O_8$	$C_{16}H_{16}O_8$	$C_{20}H_{24}O_8$	$C_{24}H_{32}O_8$	$C_{24}H_{32}O_8$	$C_{40}H_{32}O_8$	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_4O_8$	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_4O_8$	$C_{20}H_{20}Br_4O_8$	$C_{20}H_{20}Br_4O_8$
fw	336.29	336.29	392.40	448.51	448.51	640.68	530.19	530.19	707.99	707.99
crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/n$ (#14)	P1 (#2)	Iba2 (#45)	C2/c (#15)	C2/c (#15)	$P2_1/c$ (#14)	$P2_1/c$ (#14)	$P2_1/c$ (#14)	P1 (#2)	$P2_1/c$ (#14)
<i>a</i> , Å	8.6787(7)	8.6023(4)	13.483(2)	24.60(1)	15.760(6)	10.8775(8)	7.6460(5)	11.934(3)	6.9884(8)	4.9861(5)
<i>b</i> , Å	7.5719(7)	8.889(2)	21.392(3)	9.724(1)	8.4013(8)	7.9949(3)	15.981(2)	7.640(1)	7.7551(6)	30.653(2)
<i>c</i> , Å	12.761(1)	5.2870(6)	6.8956(9)	10.864(2)	19.395(2)	18.0881(9)	10.006(1)	12.737(2)	12.177(1)	8.0969(5)
α, deg	90	96.305(4)	90	90	90	90	90	90	102.295(3)	90
$\beta$ , deg	105.193(2)	105.636(5)	90	109.32(2)	99.29(2)	92.459(5)	105.371(4)	97.145(9)	97.575(3)	98.386(4)
γ, deg	90	87.323(8)	90	90	90	90	90	90	106.277(6)	90
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	809.2(1)	386.87(9)	1988.9(5)	2452(1)	2534.27	1571.6(2	1178.9(2)	1152.3(4)	605.92(10)	1224.3(2)
Z	2	1	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	2
$\rho_{\rm calc},  {\rm g/cm^3}$	1.380	1.443	1.310	1.215	1.175	1.354	1.493	1.528	1.940	1.920
unique reflecns	1828	1711	860	1774	1922	2652	2099	2034	2063	2167
no. obsd reflens	1477	1360	832	1679	1514	2276	1713	1749	1871	1490
$R_1$	0.046	0.062	0.072	0.053	0.053	0.056	0.051	0.109	0.103	0.070
$R, R_{\rm w}$	0.106, 0.133	0.131, 0.183	0.291, 0.156	0.083, 0.117	0.076, 0.091	0.116, 0.151	0.090, 0.133	0.253, 0.267	0.151, 0.357	0.109, 0.196
GOF	1.27	1.82	1.56	1.67	1.51	1.86	1.37	2.00	1.75	1.49
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ , deg	55.0	54.9	136.3	51.2	51.2	136.3	136.4	136.4	66.8	136.4
temp, °C	25	25	23	-76	-76	-69	23	23	-80	23



*Figure 3.* Powder XRD patterns of (a) 1f-A, (b) polymer of 1f-A obtained by photopolymerization, (c) 1f-B, and (d) polymer of 1f-B obtained by solid-state thermal polymerization.

1e-A and 1f-A show no less crystallinity after completion of the polymerizations. Further,  $2\theta$  of diffraction peaks arising from crystalline polymers are in fairly good agreement with those of the respective monomers. In particular, the powder XRD pattern of polymer from the solid-state polymerization of 1e-A is significantly different from that of polymer obtained from the solution polymerization (run 21 in Table 2) of 1e in toluene initiated by AIBN (Figure 2). Therefore, these points indicate that the polymerizations of those crystals as well as  $1a-A^6$ proceed topochemically. On the other hand, the broad diffraction pattern of polymer from the solid-state thermal polymerization of 1f-B indicates that 1f-B shows loss of crystallinity during the polymerization (Figure 3). The crystalline polymers of 1e-A and 1f-A obtained by photopolymerization and thermal polymerization in the solid-state melted at 149-156 and 155-164 °C, respectively. After melting, the solubility of both polymers changed dramatically, and they became soluble in chloroform, THF, and benzene. Also, their <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were the same as those of the polymers obtained by their solution polymerizations, and their molecular weights

were ca. 20 000. It is, therefore, considered that the insolubility in common organic solvents for both polymers of 1e-A and 1f-A obtained by solid-state polymerization is due to their high crystallinities and/or high molecular weights.

Crystal Structures and Polymerization Reactivity in the Crystalline State. To clarify the packing modes of the molecules in the crystals, we investigated the crystal structures by X-ray crystallography systematically. Measurements were carried out using the imaging plate diffractometer system, and during measurements polymerizations of monomer crystals did not take place. The crystallographic data of 1a-f are summarized in Table 3, and their crystal structures are shown in Figure 4.

X-ray studies revealed differences of the crystal structures of two polymorphs of **1a**, **1c**, **1e**, and **1f**. In the latter three quinodimethanes, the polymorphisms are attributed to both the conformational difference of the ester groups and the crystal packings. Flexibilities of the alkyl chains and free rotation between exomethylene and carbonyl groups give variations of conformational isomerism. From the lattice parameters and the crystal structures, there are no apparent robust structural motifs in these compounds. However, the planar quinodimethane moieties tend to stack along one axis to form columnar structures as shown Figure 5.

The stacking manner in the columnar structure is affected by the alkyl groups of the esters. Moreover, association modes of the columns to 3D crystalline lattice are also changed by the ester groups. Therefore, the alkyl groups induce the change of monomer packing as well as polymerization reactivity in the crystalline state.

To discuss molecular arrangements of quinodimethanes in the crystal structures in relation to the solid-state polymerization reactivities, we investigated the stacking manners in the columnar structures by using structural parameters ( $d_s$ ,  $d_{cc}$ ,  $\theta_1$ , and  $\theta_2$ ). They are used by estimation of the stacking manner for diene monomers, because the quinodimethane monomers have planar structures similar to diene monomers.<sup>5i</sup> We examined these parameters defined as the angles formed between the stacking axis and longer axis of the monomer molecule ( $\theta_1$ ) and the shorter axis of the monomer molecule ( $\theta_2$ ), the distance between equivalent atoms in the stacked monomers (stacking distance,  $d_s$ ), and the distance between the reacting exomethylene carbons



*Figure 4.* Crystal structures of the quinodimethane monomers: (a) 1a–A, (b) 1a–B, (c) 1b, (d) 1c–A, (e) 1c–B, (f) 1d, (g) 1e–A, (h) 1e–B, (i) 1f–A, and (j) 1f–B. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Open and filled circles represent carbon and oxygen atoms, respectively.



**Figure 5.** Stacking model for the quinodimethane monomers in the crystals, and the definition of stacking parameters for the prediction of the topochemicalpolymerization reactivity.  $d_s$  is the stacking distance between the adjacent monomers in a column;  $d_{cc}$  is the distance between the reacting exomethylene carbons;  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the angles between the stacking axis and longer axis of the monomer molecule and the shorter axis of the molecule.

 $(d_{cc})$ . The parameters of **1a**-**f** are summarized in Table 4, and the columns in the crystal structures are shown in Figure 6.

On the basis of stacking manners of the columnar structures, the crystal structures are divided into several groups: (i) the stacking axis is perpendicular to the molecular plane of the

Table 4.	Stacking	Parameters for	or Crystals	s of <b>1a−f</b>
----------	----------	----------------	-------------	------------------

monomer	$\theta_1$ (deg)	$ heta_2$ (deg)	d <sub>s</sub> (Å)	d <sub>cc</sub> (Å)			
1a-A	30	89	7.6	3.9			
1a-B	55	62	5.3	5.0			
1b	90	90	3.4	4.3			
1c-A	46	74	5.4	4.4			
1c-B	49	41	8.9	6.8			
1d	60	72	9.9	7.7			
	43	47					
1e-A	32	83	7.6	4.2			
1e-B	40	70	7.4	5.2			
	50	52					
1f-A	33	89	7.0	3.8			
1f-B	56	65	5.0	5.0			

 ${}^{a}\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ , tilt angles of the molecular palne;  $d_{cc}$ , distance between the reacting exomethylene carbons;  $d_{s}$ , stacking distance.

quinodimethane, that is, both the tilt angles ( $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ ) are 90° for **1b**, (ii) the stacking axis is tilted to the direction of the longer molecular axis ( $\theta_1 \neq 90^\circ$ ) and not to that of the shorter



*Figure 6.* Columnar stackings of the quinodimethane monomers: (a) **1a**–**A**, (b) **1a**–**B**, (c) **1b**, (d) **1c**–**A**, (e) **1c**–**B**, (f) **1d**, (g) **1e**–**A**, (h) **1e**–**B**, (i) **1f**–**A**, and (j) **1f**–**B**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Open and filled circles represent carbon and oxygen atoms, respectively.

molecular axis ( $\theta_2 = 90^\circ$ ) for 1a–A, 1e–A, and 1f–A, and (iii) the stacking axis is tilted to an arbitrary direction ( $\theta_1 \neq$ 90°,  $\theta_2 \neq$  90°) for 1a–B, 1c–A, 1c–B, 1d, 1e–B, and 1f–B. In the first type, the quinodimethane stacks exactly on the plane of the neighboring quinodimethane. The length between two quinodimethane planes,  $d_s$ , is 3.4 Å, which corresponds to the width of the quinodimethane and the length of  $\pi - \pi$  stacking. Steric repulsions between the ester moieties induce rotation of the quinodimethane plane along the stacking axis ( $60^{\circ}$ ). As a result, the distance between the reacting exomethylene carbon atoms,  $d_{cc}$ , is 4.3 Å and a little longer for polymerizations. In the second type, the stacking axis is inclined to the long molecular axis of the quinodimethane plane, and this produces offset stacking of the quinodimethanes. Then, the stacking distances are longer than the first type and the distances between the exomethylene carbons are shorter. No offsets to the shorter molecular axis get the reacting carbons close to each other.

Therefore, the stacking distances are in the small range of 7.0– 7.6 Å, and  $d_{cc}s$  are 3.8–4.2 Å. In the last group, the stacking axes are tilted to arbitrary directions, which provides a wide range of stacking manners in the columns. The crystal structures of **1a–B**, **1c–B**, and **1f–B** have parallel stacking, similar to those of the first two types, and **1c–A** provides the similar parallel stacking but the quinodimethanes are arranged in a zigzag fashion. In the cases of **1d** and **1e–B**, the quinodimethane molecules are no longer parallel to the nearest neighbors. Therefore, the stacking distances are in the range of 7.4–9.9 Å and  $d_{cc}s$  are 5.2–7.7 Å.

On the other hand, monomers examined in this work could be classified into three groups by the difference in solid-state polymerization reactivities: (i) topochemical polymerizations by the photopolymerizations and the thermal polymerizations occurred to afford highly crystalline polymers for 1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A, (ii) the thermal polymerizations occurred to give

amorphous polymers for 1e-B and 1f-B, and (iii) no polymerizations took place for 1a-B, 1b, 1c-A, 1c-B, and 1d. All the topochemically polymerizable monomers (1a-A, 1e-A, and 1f-A) are in the second type of crystal structures with parameters of  $\theta_1 = 30-33^\circ$ ,  $\theta_2 = 83-89^\circ$ ,  $d_s = 7.0-7.6$  Å, and  $d_{cc} = 3.8-4.2$  Å. Nearly face-to-face stacking with some offset along the longer molecular axis is requisite for crystalline state polymerizations of quinodimenthane monomers. When monomer molecules were stacked in this specific distance in the crystals, reacting exomethylene carbons can be brought close enough ( $d_{cc} = 3.8-4.2$  Å) only by rotation of the monomer without movement of a translational direction. In particular, the  $d_{\rm s}$  is quite similar to that of the repeating distance (about 7.3) Å, calculated by molecular modeling) of the resulting polymer. This similarity of the unit lengths before and after polymerization affords high reactivities in the crystalline state and polymers with high crystallinity and molecular weight.

On the other hand, the  $d_s$  of the monomers in the groups ii and iii, except for 1e-B, is much shorter or longer than 7.3 Å. Longer  $d_s$  in 1c–B, 1d, and 1c–A have  $d_{cc}$  values more than 4.2 Å, and 1c-B and 1d have  $d_s$  values more than 8.5 Å; monomer molecules in crystals cannot move such a long distance and fail to polymerize. Most of them cannot polymerize in the crystalline state efficiently. From the above points, it is concluded that topochemical polymerization of quinodimethanes needs to have a  $d_{cc}$  value of about 4.0 Å and translational arrangement of the monomers along the direction of polymerization, in addition to a  $d_s$  value of about 7.3 Å, which is the fiber period of quinodimethane polymers. In the case of 1e-B, polymerization occurred at high temperature, and the polymerization led to an amorphous polymer. This can be explained as follows. First, **1e**–**B** has a  $d_s$  value of 7.4 Å and a  $d_{cc}$  value of 5.2 Å, and the latter value is larger than the  $d_{cc}$  value of ca. 4.0 Å suitable for topochemical polymerization. This means that monomer molecules have to move a long distance to polymerize. As a result, collapse of the crystals occurs and an amorphous polymer is produced. Second, there are two nearest-neighboring molecules because of the screw axis in the space group  $(P2_1/$ c), and therefore, there are two possible directions (path A and path B) for the polymerization reaction as shown in Figure 7, and the carbon-carbon bond formation between the reacting exomethylene carbons might occur at random, resulting in an amorphous polymer.

As another possibility, phase transition of the crystals, is plausible because a weak endothermic peak was observed at 102 °C for 1e-B by DSC measurement, and details are now in progress.

The fiber period of quinodimethane polymers could be estimated to be about 7.3 Å by molecular modeling. Therefore,



*Figure 7.* Packing mode of molecules in the crystals for 1e-B and possible direction of polymerization.

if quinodimethane monomers have a  $d_s$  value of about 7.3 Å in crystals, polymerization reactions would take place topochemically along the column axis. As the monomers in group i have  $d_s$  values of 7.0–7.6 Å, close to the fiber period of about 7.3 Å, topochemical polymerization could surely take place. For the monomers in group ii, as **1f–B** has a  $d_s$  value of 5.0 Å smaller than ca. 7.3 Å, expansion to the direction along the column axis might take place on polymerization in the crystals, resulting in amorphous polymer. On the other hand, **1e–B** has a  $d_s$  value of 7.4 Å and is expected to polymerize topochemically to give a crystalline polymer. However, larger  $d_{cc}$  and/or two possible directions of polymerization led to amorphous polymers. These observations in quinodimethanes are quite similar to those of topochemical polymerizations in dienes for  $d_s = 4.9-5.2$  Å<sup>5</sup> and trienes and triynes for  $d_s = 7.3$  Å.<sup>4</sup>

## Conclusion

Novel highly conjugated monomers, 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes with methoxy (1a), ethoxy (1b), isopropoxy (1c), benzyloxy (1d), chloroethoxy (1e), and bromoethoxy (1f) as alkoxy groups, were synthesized, and their polymerizations in the crystalline state were investigated. Some of 1a-f afforded two crystal forms depending upon recrystallization conditions, and their crystal structures were determined by X-ray crystallography. It was found that topochemical polymerizations of the quinodimethane monomers might take place when they are packed in the crystals with parameters of  $\theta_1 = 30-33^\circ$ ,  $\theta_2 = 83-89^\circ$ ,  $d_s = 7.0-7.6$  Å, and  $d_{cc} = 3.8-$ 4.2 Å.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedures, spectroscopic and analytical data, and X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format for 7,7,8,8-tetrakis(alkoxycarbonyl)quinodimethanes (**1a**-**f**). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA0386086